arXiv:1405.3216v1 [math.RA] 13 May 2014

ADJOINT QUOTIENT MAPS FOR RESTRICTED LIE ALGEBRAS W_n AND S_n

HAO CHANG

ABSTRACT. We give an explicit description of adjoint quotient maps for Jacobson-Witt algebra W_n and special algebra S_n. An analogue of Kostant's differential criterion of regularity is given for W_n. Furthermore, we describe the fiber of adjoint quotient map for S_n and construct the analogs of Kostant's transverse slice. In addition, we analysis the nilpotent elements and generalize some Premet's results to S_n.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B05, 17B08, 17B50.

Keywords. Jacobson-Witt algebra, special algebra, adjoint quotient, nilpotent elements

1. INTRODUCTION

In [Ko], Kostant established a series of results about the adjoint quotient maps of the classical Lie algebras. For the Lie algebras of Cartan type, Premet investigated the nilpotent elements of restricted Lie algebra W_n, obtained the analogues of results of Chevalley and Kostant which are well known in the classical theory of Lie algebras. Recently, Bois, Farnsteiner and Shu [BFS] generalized Premet's results to other Lie algebras of Cartan type by defining the Weyl group for non-clasical restricted Lie algebras. Later, in [WCL], the authors studied the nilpotent variety for the special algebra S_n and proved the nilpotent variety of S_n is an irreducible affine algebraic variety. This indeed confirmed a conjecture of Premet (cf. [P90],[Sk],[WCL]).

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a restricted Lie algebra and G its automorphism group. Based on the above results, the ring of invariants $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ was completely described for the cases W_n and S_n. Now let $\Phi_G : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/G$, we call Φ_G the adjoint quotient map. According to [P92] and [WCL], the ring of invariants $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ is polynomial ring for W_n and S_n. Let P_ξ denote the fiber of $\Phi_G^{-1}(\xi)$, where $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}/G$. In [P92], Premet showed that Φ_ξ is irreducible complete intersection for $\mathfrak{g} = W_n$. The aim of the paper is to establish the analogue of Kostant differential criterion of regularity for W_n and extend some Premet's results to S_n.

This work which is part of the author's thesis is supported by China Scholarship Council (210306140109).

Our paper is organized as follows. After recalling some basic definitions and results on the Jacobson-Witt algebra W_n and special algebra S_n, we explicitly describe the fiber P_ξ for W_n. We give a criterion to determine the smooth locus of P_ξ (Proposition 3.3). In Section 4, we continue to investigate the fiber of adjoint quotient map for S_n. The fiber is shown to be an irreducible complete intersection. Motivated by the results of [WCL], we construct the analogs of Kostant's transverse slice for W_n and S_n and give a description of nilpotent elements in S_n.

Some results of Section 3 are also proved by Premet in his recent preprint [P14] using slightly different methods.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Jean-Marie Bois, Rolf Farnsteiner and Alexander Premet for useful discussions on an earlier version of this paper.

2. Preliminaries and notations

2.1. **Restricted Lie algebras of Cartan type W.** Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 3$, and let B_n be the truncated polynomial ring in n variants:

$$B_n = k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]/(x_1^p, \cdots, x_n^p) \ (n \geq 3).$$

Let $W_n := \mathrm{Der}(B_n)$ be the Jacobson-Witt algebra. It is a simple restricted Lie algebra and its p-map is the standard p-th power of linear operators. Denote by $D_i = \partial/\partial x_i \in W_n$ the partial derivative with respect to the variable x_i. Then $\{D_1, \cdots, D_n\}$ is a basis of the B_n-module W_n, so that $\dim_k W_n = np^n$.

Let $0 \neq f \in B_n$. Throughout the article,

$$\deg f \text{ is the } \textbf{minimal} \text{ degree of each monomial in } f. \tag{2-1}$$

And let $\deg 0 = +\infty$ conventionally. There is a natural filtration in B_n:

$$(B_n)_i = \{f \in B_n \mid \deg f \geq i\}, \qquad \text{for } i = 0, \cdots, n(p-1).$$

Then W_n inherits a filtration,

$$(W_n)_i = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j D_j \in W_n \,\middle|\, \deg f_j \geq i+1, \forall j \right\}, \qquad \text{for } i = -1, \cdots, n(p-1)-1.$$

2.2. **Restricted Lie algebras of Cartan type** S**.** The Lie algebra $\widetilde{S}_n$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{S}_n = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i D_i \in W_n \,\Big|\, \operatorname{div} f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i f_i = 0 \right\}.$$

The special algebra S_n is the derived algebra of $\widetilde{S}_n$. As a vector space, S_n is spanned by the derivations

$$D_{i,j}\{u\} = D_j(u)D_i - D_i(u)D_j\,, \quad u \in B_n\,. \tag{2-2}$$

The Lie algebras $\widetilde{S}_n$ and S_n are both restricted Lie algebras with the same p-map as the Lie algebra W_n. Moreover, it is well-known that S_n is a simple restricted Lie algebra with $\dim_k S_n = (n-1)(p^n-1)$ and $\dim_k \widetilde{S}_n = (n-1)p^n + 1$ (cf. [SF, Chapter 4, Theorem 3.5 and 3.7]). And there are $n+1$ (resp. n) classes of maximal tori of dimension n (resp. $n-1$) in W_n (resp. S_n) (cf. [D]).

2.3. **Automorphism groups of** W **and** S**.** Let $G = \operatorname{Aut}(W_n)$ and $\widetilde{G} = \operatorname{Aut}(S_n)$ be the restricted automorphism groups of W_n and S_n respectively. It is a classical result (cf. [D]) that any automorphism ϕ of the k-algebra B_n induces an automorphism of W_n by

$$g(D) = \phi \circ D \circ \phi^{-1}, \quad \text{for any } D \in W_n\,, \tag{2-3}$$

and in this way,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Aut}(W_n) &\cong \operatorname{Aut}(B_n), \\ \operatorname{Aut}(S_n) &\cong \left\{ \phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(B_n) \,\middle|\, \det\left(\partial_i \phi(x_j)\right) \text{ is a non-zero constant} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2-4}$$

According to [W, Theorem 2], G and $\widetilde{G}$ are both connected algebraic groups. Furthermore, let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the unique maximal ideal of B_n. G is of dimension $np^n - n$ and $\operatorname{Lie}(G) = (W_n)_0 = \{\sum f_i \partial_i \mid f_i \in \mathfrak{m}\}$(cf.[LN]), and $\dim \widetilde{G} = \dim_k S_n + 1$ ([WCL, Proposition 3.4]).

3. Jacobson-Witt algebra W_n

From now on, we always denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Jacobson-Witt algebra W_n.

3.1. **smooth points.** For any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, we can consider the subring of x-constants $B_n^x \subseteq B_n$ to be the space of truncated polynomials annihilated by x. We always have $k \subseteq B_n^x$. Let ρ be the tautological representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the space B_n. Let $P(t,x)$ be the characteristic polynomial of $\rho(x)$. By[P92, Corollary 1],

$$P(t,x) = t^{p^n} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi_i(x) t^{p^i}. \tag{3-1}$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}^x$ be the centraliser of x in $\mathfrak{g}$. There exists an open subset of $\mathfrak{g}$ consisting of elements x such $\dim \mathfrak{g}^x = n$ ([P92, Lemma 1]). Hence, $n = \min\{\dim \mathfrak{g}^x \mid x \in \mathfrak{g}\}$.

Consider the following open subsets of $\mathfrak{g}$

$$U_1 = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid B_n^x = k\},$$

$$U_2 = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \dim \mathfrak{g}^x = n\},$$

$$U_3 = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid (d\phi_0)_x, (d\phi_1)_x, \cdots, (d\phi_{n-1})_x \text{ are linearly independent}\}.$$

Set

$$M_{\mathrm{ad}x} = (\mathrm{ad}x)^{p^n-1} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi_i(x)(\mathrm{ad}x)^{p^i-1},$$

and

$$M_x = \rho(x)^{p^n-1} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi_i(x)\rho(x)^{p^i-1}.$$

We will frequently use the following two lemmas:

Lemma 3.1. *(*[P92, Lemma 7.(i)]*) For any $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$,*

$$M_{\mathrm{ad}x}(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (d\phi_i)_x(y).x^{p^i}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $x \in W_n$. If $B_n^x = k$, then the minimal polynomial of $\rho(x)$ is just the polynomial $P(t;x)$.*

Proof. See the proof of Proposition 1.2.3 in [B]. □

We have following proposition to describe the elements which have no non-trivial constants.

Proposition 3.3. *Keep notations as above, $U_1 = U_3$.*

Proof. The nilpotent case is a direct corollary of [P92, Lemma 7]. We always assume x is neither p-nilpotent nor p-semisimple. Let $x = x_s + x_n$ be the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of x. Furthermore, we assume x_s is not regular, for this case, it is easy.

If $B_n^x = k$, thanks to Lemma 3.2, the minimal polynomial of $\rho(x)$ is just the polynomial $P(t, x)$. It follows that $x, x^p, \cdots, x^{p^{n-1}}$ are linearly independent. Thanks to Lemma 3.1, to verify $x \in U_3$ it is sufficient to check $\dim M_{\mathrm{ad}x}(\mathfrak{g}) = n$. For any $f \in B_n$ and $l \in \{0, \cdots, n-1\}$ we have

$$M_{\mathrm{ad}x}(f.x^{p^l}) = M_x(f)x^{p^l}.$$

Since $M_x(B_n) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\,\rho(x) = k$ and $M_x \neq 0$, we have

$$M_{\mathrm{ad}x}(\mathfrak{g}) = k - \langle x, x^p, \cdots, x^{p^{n-1}} \rangle,$$

as desired.

On the other hand, if $x \in U_3$, then it can be easily verified that $x, x^p, \cdots, x^{p^{n-1}}$ are linearly independent. Furthermore, this implies that

$$\mathrm{Im}\, M_{\mathrm{ad}x} = k - \langle x, x^p, \cdots, x^{p^{n-1}} \rangle.$$

We divide the discussion into two cases.

Case 1: $x \notin \mathfrak{g}_0$.

Let f be a nonzero element in $\mathfrak{m} \cap B_n^x$. We may assume that $\deg(f) \geq 2$ (if not, we replace f by $f^2 \neq 0$). By the choice of f one has $fx \neq 0$ and $fx \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^x$, so $fx = a_0 x + a_1 x^p + \cdots + a_{n-1} x^{p^{n-1}}$. Furthermore, $(fx)^p = f^p x^p + (fx)^{p-1}(f)x$. Hence,

$$0 = (fx)^p = (a_0)^p x^p + (a_1)^p x^{p^2} + \cdots + (a_{n-1})^p x^{p^n},$$

and

$$x^{p^n} = -(\frac{a_0}{a_{n-1}})^p x^p - (\frac{a_1}{a_{n-1}})^p x^{p^2} - \cdots - (\frac{a_{n-2}}{a_{n-1}})^p x^{p^{n-1}}.$$

Since minimal polynomial of $\mathrm{ad}x$ is $P(t,x)$, we have $\phi_0(x)=0, \phi_i(x)=-(\frac{a_{i-1}}{a_{n-1}})^p$, for $1\leq i\leq (n-1)$. Let $a_s\neq 0$ and $a_l=0$ for $l<s$. There is a $y\in\mathfrak{g}$ with $M_{\mathrm{ad}x}(y)=x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (\mathrm{ad}x)^{p^n-1}-\sum_{i=s+1}^{n-1}\phi(x)(\mathrm{ad}x)^{p^i-1}(y)\\ &= [x^{p^s},(\mathrm{ad}x)^{p^n-p^s-1}-\sum_{i=s+1}^{n-1}\phi(x)(\mathrm{ad}x)^{p^i-p^s-1}(y)]\\ &= \frac{1}{a_s}[fx-\sum_{i\geq s+1}a_ix^{p^i},(\mathrm{ad}x)^{p^n-p^s-1}-\sum_{i=s+1}^{n-1}\phi(x)(\mathrm{ad}x)^{p^i-p^s-1}(y)]\\ &= \frac{1}{a_s}[fx,(\mathrm{ad}x)^{p^n-p^s-1}-\sum_{i=s+1}^{n-1}\phi(x)(\mathrm{ad}x)^{p^i-p^s-1}(y)]\in\mathfrak{g}_0. \end{aligned}$$

We have obtained a contradiction.

Case 2: $x\in\mathfrak{g}_0$.

Since $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is an algebraic Lie algebra, by a conjugation, we can write $x^{p^n}=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_ix_iD_i$. Note that x_s is not regular, so we can find an element $g\in\mathfrak{m}$ and $\deg g\geq 2$ such that $x^{p^n}(g)=0$ but $gx^{p^n}\neq 0$. Since $[x,gx^{p^n}]=x(g)x^{p^n}$, there exists $g'\in\mathfrak{m}$ such that $g'x^{p^n}\in\mathfrak{g}^x$ and $x^{p^n}(g')=0$. $g'\in\mathrm{Im}\,\rho(x)$ and $x\in\mathfrak{g}_0$, it follows that $g'x^{p^n}\in\mathfrak{g}_2$. Now one may use the same argument in Case 1. □

Remark 3.4. *1). From the proof of Proposition 3.3, we have*

$$\{x\in\mathfrak{g}\mid B_n^x=k\}=\{x\in\mathfrak{g}\mid \dim M_{\mathrm{ad}x}(\mathfrak{g})=n\}.$$

This results turn out to be an analogues of results of Premet which describe the smooth points in nilpotent variety of W_n ([P92, Lemma 7]*).*
2). In [B, Corollary 1.2.4], *the author proved that $U_1\subseteq U_2$.*
3). In [P14, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2], *Premet proved $U_1=U_2=U_3$.*

3.2. **adjoint quotient for W_n.** Choose generators $\phi_0,\phi_1,\cdots,\phi_{n-1}\in k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ for $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ as a k-algebra. Set

$$\Phi_G:\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow\mathbb{A}^n,\ x\mapsto(\phi_0(x),\phi_1(x),\cdots,\phi_{n-1}(x)).$$

We call Φ_G the adjoint quotient since the comorphism of Φ_G is just the inclusion of $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ into $k[\mathfrak{g}]$.

Let P_ξ denote the fiber $\Phi_G^{-1}(\xi)$, where $\xi=(\xi_0,\cdots,\xi_{n-1})\in\mathbb{A}^n$. According to [P92, Theorem 3], φ_G is equidimensional and surjective, each of its fiber is irreducible, has dimension $N-n$.

Furthermore, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{A}^n$, the fiber P_ξ contains a point $\mathcal{D}_\xi$ with trivial stabilizer and the ideal $I(P_\xi) = \{f \in k[\mathfrak{g}] \mid f(P_\xi) = 0\}$ is generated by polynomials $\phi_0(x) - \xi_0, \cdots, \phi_{n-1}(x) - \xi_{n-1}$. Thanks to [P92, Lemma 12], the elements of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_\xi = G.\mathcal{D}_\xi$ are smooth in P_ξ. Compare with the classical case (cf.[Jan, Proposition 7.13]), our situation is different. In general, the smooth locus of P_ξ is not the unique dense open orbit $\mathcal{O}_\xi$.

Question 3.5. *When the fiber P_ξ is smooth?*

The following Proposition answer the above question. It can also be found in [P14, Theorem 3(ii)].

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\xi = (\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{A}^n$. P_ξ is smooth if and only if $\xi_0 \neq 0$.*

Proof. If P_ξ is smooth, then it follows from [P92, Lemma 7] that $\xi \neq 0$, i.e., x is not nilpotent for any $x \in P_\xi$. Take $y \in P_\xi$, by virtue of [P92, Lemma 14], $\overline{G.y} \cap T_n \neq \varnothing$, where $T_n =< x_1\partial_1, \cdots, x_n\partial_n >$. Let $0 \neq y' \in \overline{G.y} \cap T_n$. Note that $\Phi_G(y') = \Phi_G(y)$ and y' is also a smooth point in P_ξ. Hence $(d\phi_0)_{y'}, (d\phi_1)_{y'}, \cdots, (d\phi_{n-1})_{y'}$ are linearly independent. According to Proposition 3.3, $B_n^{y'} = k$, so the minimal polynomial of $\rho(y')$ is just $P(t, y')$. Since, in addition, y' is semisimple. Hence y' is regular semisimple element. This implies $\xi_0 = \phi_0(y') \neq 0$.

On the other hand, if $\xi_0 \neq 0$, for any $x \in P_\xi$, then $\phi_0(x) = \xi_0 \neq 0$. It follows that x is regular semisimple element. Hence $B_n^x = k$, Proposition 3.3 implies that x is smooth in P_ξ. □

The following example was communicated to the author by Premet.

Example 3.7. *For the Witt algebra W_1, consider the fiber P_1, i.e., the solution of $t^p - t = 0$ in W_1. We have finitely many orbits in the fiber whose representatives are $(1 + x)\partial$, $\lambda x\partial$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. In particular, the fiber is smooth.*

Corollary 3.8. *If the smooth locus of P_ξ equals $\mathcal{O}_\xi$, then $\xi_0 = 0$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the fiber P_ξ contains at least two orbits. Suppose that P_ξ consist of one orbit, i.e., $P_\xi = \mathcal{O}_\xi = G.\mathcal{D}_\xi$. By the same argument as in Proposition 3.6, we can find an element $x \in T_n$ such that $P_\xi = G.x$. Note that $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, this implies that $x, x^p, \cdots, x^{p^{n-1}}$ contain in $\mathfrak{g}_0$. On the other hand, $x, x^p, \cdots, x^{p^{n-1}}$ form a basis of the B_n-module $\mathfrak{g}$([P92, Lemma 12]). This is a contradiction. □

Question 3.9. *When the smooth locus of P_ξ equals $\mathcal{O}_\xi$?*

Remark 3.10. *The smooth locus of nilpotent variety $\mathcal{N} = P_0$ equals the unique dense open orbit $\mathcal{O}_0$ (*[P92, Lemma 7]*).*

4. Special algebra S_n

4.1. **adjoint quotient for S_n.** Recall that $\widetilde{G}$ is the restricted automorphism group of S_n. Furthermore, $\dim \widetilde{G} = \dim_k S_n + 1 = N + 1$, where, for convenience, let

$$N = (n-1)(p^n - 1) = \dim S_n\,.$$

Recall that the characteristic polynomial $P(t,x)$ is a p-polynomial on W_n. Let φ_i be the restriction of ϕ_i on S_n. Denote

$$Q(t,x) = t^{p^n} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi_i(x) t^{p^i}. \tag{4-1}$$

On the other hand, according to Theorem 2 in [P90], there exist homogeneous polynomials $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_{n-1} \in k[S_n]$ such that

$$x^{p^n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \psi_i(x) x^{p^i} = 0, \qquad \forall x \in S_n\,. \tag{4-2}$$

Let

$$\widetilde{Q}(t,x) = t^{p^n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \psi_i(x) t^{p^i}. \tag{4-3}$$

Since both $Q(x,t)$ and $\widetilde{Q}(t,x)$ are minimal p-polynomial on S_n, we readily obtain:

Proposition 4.1 ([WCL, Proposition 2.4])**.** *The polynomial φ_0 is zero on S_n and $\varphi_i = \psi_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$.*

In [WCL], the authors investigated the nilpotent elements of S_n and the nilpotent variety $\mathcal{N}(S_n)$ is proved to be an irreducible complete intersection of codimension $n-1$. Furthermore, by modifying a result in [BFS], the authors establish an analogue of the Chevalley Restriction Theorem:

$$k[S_n]^{\widetilde{G}} \cong k[T]^{\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_p)} \cong k[W_{n-1}]^{G'},$$

and $k[S_n]^{\widetilde{G}} = k[\varphi_1^{1/p}, \cdots, \varphi_{n-1}^{1/p}]$, where T is the standard generic torus of S_n defined in [BFS] and G' is the restricted automorphism group of W_{n-1}.

Similar to section 3.2, we define the adjoint quotient $\Phi_{\widetilde{G}}$ for the special algebra S_n. Choose generators $\varphi_1^{1/p}, \varphi_2^{1/p}, \cdots, \varphi_{n-1}^{1/p} \in k[S_n]^{\widetilde{G}}$ for $k[S_n]^{\widetilde{G}}$ as a k-algebra. Set

$$\Phi_{\widetilde{G}} : S_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n-1},\ x \mapsto (\varphi_1^{1/p}(x), \varphi_2^{1/p}(x), \cdots, \varphi_{n-1}^{1/p}(x)).$$

Recall that the following useful morphism:

$$\sigma :\ W_{n-1} \longrightarrow S_n,\ x \mapsto x - \operatorname{div}(x) x_n D_n.$$

σ is an injective homomorphism of restricted Lie algebras ([BFS, Lemma 4.1]).

Now we are ready to describe the properties of morphism $\Phi_{\widetilde{G}}$.

Lemma 4.2. *The following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{G'}} & \mathbb{A}^{n-1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Id}} \\ S_n & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\widetilde{G}}} & \mathbb{A}^{n-1} \end{array}$$

In particular, $\Phi_{\widetilde{G}}$ is surjective.

Proof. As (3-1), we denote by

$$P'(t,x) = t^{p^{n-1}} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \phi_i'(x) t^{p^i}$$

the characteristic polynomial for W_{n-1}. Hence, for any $x \in W_{n-1}$,

$$x^{p^{n-1}} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \phi_i'(x) x^{p^i} = 0. \tag{4-4}$$

On the other hand, $Q(t,x)$ is the characteristic polynomial of S_n. It follows that

$$(\sigma(x))^{p^n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varphi_i(\sigma(x))(\sigma(x))^{p^i} = ((\sigma(x))^{p^{n-1}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varphi_i^{1/p}(\sigma(x))(\sigma(x))^{p^{i-1}})^p = 0 \tag{4-5}$$

for any $x \in W_{n-1}$. Since both $P'(t,x)$ and $Q(t,x)$ are p-polynomial, and therefore all its roots have same multiplicity which is a power of p, it follows by a comparison of (4-4) and (4-5) that $\phi_i'(x) = \varphi_{i+1}^{1/p}(\sigma(x))$ for any $x \in S_n$. Thus, $\phi_i' = \varphi_{i+1}^{1/p} \circ \sigma$. The surjectivity of $\Phi_{G'}$ implies that $\Phi_{\widetilde{G}}$ is surjective. □

We still denote by $P_\eta = \Phi_{\widetilde{G}}^{-1}(\eta)$ the fiber of $\Phi_{\widetilde{G}}$, where $\eta = (\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{D} = D_1 + x_1^{p-1} D_2 + \cdots + x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-2}^{p-1} D_{n-1}\,.$$

Considering $\mathcal{D}$ as a linear transformation on B_n, we can easily verify that

$$\operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{D} = K \triangleq \left\{ a_0 + a_1 x_n + \cdots + a_{p-1} x_n^{p-1} \,\middle|\, a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{p-1} \in k \right\} \subseteq B_n;$$

$$\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{D} = k\text{-subspace spanned by } x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \text{ with } (a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}) \neq (p-1, \cdots, p-1).$$

Take $\eta = (\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$, let

$$\mathcal{D}_\eta = \mathcal{D} + x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-i} \eta_i D_i \in W_{n-1}.$$

Note that, for $\eta = 0 = (0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$, $\mathcal{D}_0 = \mathcal{D}$.

For arbitrary $f_1, \cdots, f_{n-1} \in K$, denote by

$$\Omega^\eta_{f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_{n-1}} = \sigma(\mathcal{D}_\eta) + x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-1} \Big(D_n(f_1) D_1 + \cdots + D_n(f_{n-1}) D_{n-1} \Big)$$
$$+ x_1^{p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-2} \Big(f_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} + x_1 f_2 x_3 \cdots x_{n-1} + \cdots + x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-2} f_{n-1} \Big) D_n.$$

Set

$$\Omega^\eta = \left\{ \Omega^\eta_{f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_{n-1}} \,\middle|\, f_i \in K, \deg f_i \geq 2, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \right\}. \tag{4-6}$$

It is easy to see that Ω^η is an irreducible subset of S_n and $\dim_k \Omega^\eta = (n-1)(p-2)$.

Lemma 4.3. $\Phi_{G'}(\mathcal{D}_\eta) = \eta$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}' = x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-i} \eta_i D_i$, $\mathcal{D}_\eta = \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}'$. Note that $\mathcal{D}'$ in the highest graded space. By Jacobson's formula

$$\mathcal{D}_\eta^{p^i} \equiv (-1)^i D_{i+1} \,(\mathrm{mod} \mathfrak{m} W_{n-1}).$$

for all $i = 0, \cdots, n-2$. Since W_{n-1} is a free module B_{n-1}-module with a basis $D_1, \cdots, D_{n-1}$. The above argument implies $\mathcal{D}_\eta^{p^i}$ with $i = 0, 1, \cdots n-2$, form a basis for W_{n-1} over B_{n-1}. Hence we can write

$$\mathcal{D}_\eta^{p^{n-1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} f_i \mathcal{D}_\eta^{p^i} \text{with } f_i \in B_{n-1}.$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_\eta$ centralizers all power of $\mathcal{D}_\eta$, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \mathcal{D}_\eta(f_i)\mathcal{D}_\eta^{p^i} = 0.$$

Note that $\mathcal{D}_\eta^{p^i}$ is a basis for W_{n-1} over B_{n-1}. Hence $f_0, \cdots, f_{n-2}$ are annihilated by all derivations of B_{n-1}, this implies that $f_i \in k$. Furthermore, use the same induction argument in [WCL, Lemma 4.1,4.2],

$$\mathcal{D}_\eta^{p^{n-1}} \equiv (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-i} \eta_i D_i \ (\mathrm{mod} \mathfrak{m} W_{n-1}).$$

Hence, $f_i = \eta_{i+1}$ for all $i = 0, \cdots, n-2$. Furthermore, observe that the $B_{n-1}^{\mathcal{D}_\eta} = k$, this implies that the minimal polynomial of $\mathcal{D}_\eta$ is of degree p^{n-1}. Hence, the characteristic polynomial is just the minimal polynomial, so $\phi_i'(\mathcal{D}_\eta) = f_i = \eta_{i+1}$ for all $i = 0, \cdots, n-2$, as desired. □

Theorem 4.4. *The morphism $\Phi_{\widetilde{G}}$ is equidimensional. For every $\eta \in \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$ the fiber P_η is irreducible of codimension $n-1$ in S_n, and contains an affine subspace Ω^η such that $P_\eta = \overline{\widetilde{G}.\Omega^\eta}$. The ideal $I(P_\eta) = \{f \in k[S_n] \mid f(P_\eta) = 0\}$ is generated by polynomial $\varphi_1^{1/p} - \eta_1, \varphi_2^{1/p} - \eta_2, \cdots, \varphi_{n-1}^{1/p} - \eta_{n-1}$. In particular, P_η is a complete intersection.*

Proof. The proof of irreducibility and complete intersection can be applied the same argument as in [P92, Lemma 13].

Now we want to prove that $\Omega^\eta \subseteq P_\eta$. Let $x = \Omega^\eta_{f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_{n-1}} \in \Omega^\eta$. For any $0 \neq a \in k$, let $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}(B_n)$ be defined by

$$\tau(x_1) = x_1, \cdots, \tau(x_{n-1}) = x_{n-1}, \tau(x_n) = a x_n,$$

and let $g \in G$ be the corresponding automorphism of W_n. According to (2-4), $g \in \widetilde{G} = \mathrm{Aut}(S_n)$. By taking limit as a goes to 0, we have $\sigma(\mathcal{D}_\eta) \in \overline{\widetilde{G}.x}$. It follows from Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 that

$$\Phi_{\widetilde{G}}(x) = \Phi_{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma(\mathcal{D}_\eta)) = \Phi_{G'}(\mathcal{D}_\eta) = \eta.$$

This implies the following well-defined morphism,

$$\mu : \widetilde{G} \times \Omega^\eta \to P_\eta, \ (g, x) \mapsto g(x).$$

According to [WCL, Remark 5.3], the dimension of the fiber of μ is just $(n-1)(p-1)+1$. Hence,

$$\dim \mathrm{Im}(\mu) = \dim \widetilde{G} + \dim \Omega^\eta - (n-1)(p-1) + 1 = N - (n-1).$$

Thus $P_\eta = \overline{\widetilde{G}.\Omega^\eta}$. □

Remark 4.5. *In section 3.2, the adjoint quotient map Φ_G for Jacobson-Witt algebra W_n is flat morphism since $k[\mathfrak{g}]$ is a free module over $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ (*[P92, Corollary 3]*). In the case of special algebra S_n, the adjoint quotient map $\Phi_{\widetilde{G}}$ is still a flat morphism (cf.*[N]*).*

4.2. **transverse slices.** In the classical setting [Ko], Kontant defined the transversal plane to a principal nilpotent element (see also [Jan] for details). In this section, we want to construct the analogs for W_n and S_n (see [Sk] for the case of Possion algebra). Recall that

$$\mathcal{D}_\eta = \mathcal{D} + x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-i} \eta_i D_i.$$

Let

$$\Omega_1' = \{\mathcal{D}_\eta \mid \eta \in \mathbb{A}^{n-1}\} \subseteq W_{n-1}$$

and

$$\Omega_1 = \sigma(\Omega_1') = \{\sigma(\mathcal{D}_\eta) \mid \eta \in \mathbb{A}^{n-1}\} \subseteq S_n.$$

Note that Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 implies $\Phi_{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma(\mathcal{D}_\eta)) = \Phi_{G'}(\mathcal{D}_\eta) = \eta$. The following proposition is a stronger version of [WCL, Lemma 5.5].

Proposition 4.6. *The restriction map $k[S_n]^{\widetilde{G}} \to k[\Omega_1]$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We only need to prove the surjectivity, since the map is injective ([WCL, Lemma 5.5]). Ω_1 is an affine subspace of S_n, so $k[\Omega_1]$ is a polynomial ring in the $n-1$ coordinate functions $\mathcal{D}_\eta \mapsto (-1)^{n-i}\eta_i$. But $\varphi_i^{1/p}|_{\Omega_1}$ are nonzero scalar multiples of these coordinate functions. It follows from $k[S_n]^{\widetilde{G}} \cong k[\varphi_1^{1/p}, \cdots, \varphi_{n-1}^{1/p}]$ that the restriction is surjective. □

Note that the isomorphism $k[S_n]^{\widetilde{G}} \cong k[W_{n-1}]^{G'}$ induced by σ, as a corollary, we readily obtain:

Corollary 4.7. *The restriction map $k[W_{n-1}]^{G'} \to k[\Omega_1']$ is an isomorphism.*

Recall that the adjoint quotient map for W_{n-1}:

$$\Phi_{G'} : W_{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n-1},\ x \mapsto (\phi_0'(x), \phi_1'(x), \cdots, \phi_{n-2}'(x)).$$

It follows from Lemma 4.3 that $\Phi_{G'}|_{\Omega_1'}$ is an isomorphism of varieties $\Omega_1' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$. Therefore its tangent map is an isomorphism $T_x(\Omega_1') \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$ for all $x \in \Omega_1'$. Note that $\Phi_{G'}$ is constant on each

G'-orbit $\mathcal{O}_x$ with $x \in W_{n-1}$ since $\phi_i' \in k[W_{n-1}]^{G'}$. It follows that the tangent map $(d\Phi_{G'})_x$ is zero on the tangent space to $\mathcal{O}_x$:

$$(d\Phi_{G'})_x(T_x\mathcal{O}_x) = 0, \tag{4-7}$$

and

$$\Omega_1^{'} \cap \mathcal{O}_x = \{x\} \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega_1^{'}. \tag{4-8}$$

Furthermore, it follows from (4-7) that the isomorphism $T_x(\Omega_1^{'}) \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$ induced by $(d\Phi_{G'})_x$ implies

$$T_x(\Omega_1^{'}) \cap T_x(\mathcal{O}_x) = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega_1^{'}. \tag{4-9}$$

In fact, similar to [P92, lemma 12], we have the stabilizer of $\mathcal{D}_\eta$ in G' is trivial.

Lemma 4.8. *We have* $\dim T_x(\mathcal{O}_x) = \dim G'$ *for all* $x \in \Omega_1^{'}$.

Note that $\dim W_{n-1} = \dim G' + (n-1)$ since $\mathrm{Lie}(G') = (W_{n-1})_0$. Hence the above lemma and (4-9) implies that

$$W_{n-1} = T_x(\mathcal{O}_x) \oplus T_x(\Omega_1^{'}) \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega_1^{'}. \tag{4-10}$$

Consider the morphism

$$\theta : G' \times \Omega_1^{'} \to W_{n-1}, \quad (g, x) \mapsto g.x \tag{4-11}$$

Proposition 4.9. *All tangent maps* $(d\theta)_{(g,x)}$ *with* $(g, x) \in G' \times \Omega_1^{'}$ *are surjective. In particular,* θ *is a smooth morphism.*

Proof. The tangent map

$$(d\theta)_{(1,x)} : T_1(G') \times T_x(\Omega_1^{'}) \to T_x(W_{n-1}) = W_{n-1}.$$

Consider the composition of θ with

$$G' \to G' \times \Omega_1^{'}, h \mapsto (h, x),$$

we have $(d\theta)_{(1,x)}$ maps $(y, 0)$ to $[y, x]$ for any $y \in T_1(G') = (W_{n-1})_0$. Furthermore, consider the composition θ with

$$\Omega_1^{'} \to G' \times \Omega_1^{'}, z \mapsto (1, z),$$

we have $(d\theta)_{(1,x)}$ maps $(0, y')$ to y' for any $y' \in T_x(\Omega_1')$. Therefore $(d\theta)_{(1,x)}$ has image $[(W_{n-1})_0, x] + T_x(\Omega_1') \subset T_x(\mathcal{O}_x) + T_x(\Omega_1')$. It follow from the proof of Lemma 4.3 that

$$\operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{ad}(x) \cap (W_{n-1})_0 = \{0\}.$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{Im} (d\theta)_{(1,x)} = T_x(\mathcal{O}_x) + T_x(\Omega_1').$$

So (4-10) implies that $(d\theta)_{(1,x)}$ is surjective for all $x \in \Omega_1'$.

For arbitrary $(g, x) \in G' \times \Omega_1'$, we just use the same argument in [Jan, 7.8]. □

Remark 4.10. *1). The above result is very similar to the transverse slice in the classical setting (*[Sl, Chapter III]*).*
2). A differential criterion for dominant ensures that θ is dominant, i.e., $\overline{G'.\Omega_1'} = W_{n-1}$. In fact, since the stabilizer of $\mathcal{D}_\eta \in \Omega_1'$ in G' is trivial, by a comparison of dimensions, the map θ is dominant.
3). Proposition 4.6 does not implies that $\overline{\widetilde{G}.\Omega_1} = S_n$, in fact, the set Ω_1 is "too small", $\overline{\widetilde{G}.\Omega_1} \neq S_n$ ([WCL, Proposition 5.2]*).*

4.3. **nilpotent elements in S_n.** In this section, we want to describe the nilpotent elements in S_n. Recall that $\sigma : W_{n-1} \to S_n$ is the homomorphism of restricted Lie algebras defined in section 4.1.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\widetilde{G}$ be the restricted automorphism group of S_n and $x \in S_n$. If $\overline{\widetilde{G}.x} \cap \sigma(W_{n-1}) \neq \emptyset$, then $\overline{\widetilde{G}.x} \cap T_n \neq \emptyset$, where*

$$T_n = \langle x_1 D_1 - x_n D_n, \cdots, x_{n-1} D_{n-1} - x_n D_n \rangle.$$

Proof. Take $y \in \overline{\widetilde{G}.x} \cap \sigma(W_{n-1})$ and denote by $y' = \sigma^{-1}(y) \in W_{n-1}$. Let

$$T'_{n-1} = \langle x_1 D_1, \cdots, x_{n-1} D_{n-1} \rangle$$

be the standard maximal torus of W_{n-1}. Thanks to [P92, Lemma 14], $\overline{G'.y'} \cap T'_{n-1} \neq \emptyset$. Let $z' \in \overline{G'.y'} \cap T'_{n-1}$. Note that $\sigma(T'_{n-1}) = T_n$. Hence

$$\sigma(z') \in \sigma(\overline{G'.y'} \cap T'_{n-1}) \subset \overline{\widetilde{G}.\sigma(y')} \cap \sigma(T'_{n-1}) = \overline{\widetilde{G}.y} \cap T_n \subset \overline{\widetilde{G}.x} \cap T_n.$$

□

Lemma 4.12. *Keep the notations as above. Let* $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i D_i \in S_n$. *If* $x_{i_0} | f_{i_0}$ *for some* $i_0 \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$, *then* $\overline{\widetilde{G}.x} \cap T_n \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let τ_{i_0} be an automorphism of B_n defined by:

$$\tau_{i_0}(x_j) = x_j \text{ if } j \neq i_0 \text{ or } n, \tau_{i_0}(x_{i_0}) = x_n, \tau_{i_0}(x_n) = x_{i_0}.$$

Let g_{i_0} be the corresponding automorphism of S_n. Write $g_{i_0}.x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i' D_i$, it is easy to verify that $x_n | f_n'$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $i_0 = n$, i.e., $x_n | f_n$. $f_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ can be uniquely written as

$$f_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \sum_{j \geq 1} f_{n_j}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}) x_n^j.$$

For any $0 \neq c \in k$, Define $\tau_c \in \mathrm{Aut}\,(B_n)$:

$$\tau_c(x_1) = x_1, \cdots, \tau_c(x_{n-1}) = x_{n-1}, \tau_c(x_n) = c^{-1} x_n.$$

Let g_c be the corresponding automorphism, then

$$g_c(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, c x_n) D_i + \sum_{j \geq 1} f_{n_j}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}) c^{j-1} x_n^j D_n.$$

By taking limit as c goes to 0, it follows that the element

$$g_0(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, 0) D_i + f_{n_1}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}) x_n D_n$$

belongs to the closure of $\widetilde{G}$-orbit of x. Since $g_0(x) \in S_n$, we have $\mathrm{div}\,(g_0(x)) = 0$. Therefore,

$$g_0(x) = \mathcal{D}_1 - \mathrm{div}\,(\mathcal{D}_1) x_n D_n = \sigma(\mathcal{D}_1),$$

where $\mathcal{D}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, 0) D_i \in W_{n-1}$. Hence, $\sigma(\mathcal{D}_1) \in \overline{\widetilde{G}.x} \cap \sigma(W_{n-1})$. Lemma 4.11 implies $\overline{\widetilde{G}.x} \cap T_n \neq \emptyset$. □

Define Ω as follows,

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{\eta \in \mathbb{A}^{n-1}} \Omega^{\eta},$$

where Ω^η defined in (4-6). In [WCL, Proposition 5.2], the authors proved $\overline{\widetilde{G}.\Omega} = S_n$ and $\overline{\widetilde{G}.\Omega^0} = \mathcal{N}(S_n)$ (see also Theorem 4.4). The following result is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.12.

Corollary 4.13. *There exists a dense subset Δ of S_n such that $\overline{\widetilde{G}.x} \cap T_n \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in \Delta$. Furthermore, there exists a dense subset Λ of nilpotent variety $\mathcal{N}(S_n)$ such that $0 \in \overline{\widetilde{G}.x}$ for any $x \in \Lambda$.*

Remark 4.14. *Premet proved that $x \in W_n$ is nilpotent if and only if $0 \in \overline{G.x}$ (*[P92, Corollary 6]*). It is clear $x \in S_n$ is nilpotent if $0 \in \overline{\widetilde{G}.x}$. The above Corollary give a partial inverse problem. We conjecture that $\overline{\widetilde{G}.x} \cap \sigma(W_{n-1}) \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in S_n$.*

REFERENCES

[B] J.-M. Bois, *Generators of simple Lie algebra II.* Forum Math., **22**, 525-538, 2010.

[BFS] J.-M. Bois, R. Farnsteiner and B. Shu, *Weyl groups for non-classical restricted Lie algebras and the Chevalley Restriction Theorem.* Forum Math., DOI 10.1515/forum-2011-0145, 2012.

[D] S.P.Demushkin, *Cartan subalgebras of simple Lie p-algebras W_n and S_n.* Sib. Mat. Zh., **11**, No. 2, 310-325, 1970.

[Jan] J.-C. Jantzen, *Nilpotent orbits in representation theory.* Progr. Math. 228, Birkhauser-Verlag, Boston, 1-211, 2004.

[Ko] B. Kostant, *Lie group representations on polynomial rings.* Amer. J. Math.,**85**, 327-404, 1963.

[LN] Z. Lin and D.K. Nakano, *Algebraic group actions in the cohomology theory of Lie algebras of Cartan type.* J. Algebra, **179**, 852-888, 1996.

[N] K.J. Nowak, *Flat morphism between regular varieties.* Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. No. 35, 243-246, 1997.

[P90] A. A. Premet, *Regular Cartan subalgebras and nilpotent elements in restricted Lie algebras.* Math. USSR. Sbornik, Vol. 66, No.6, pp. 555-570, 1990.

[P92] A. A. Premet, *The theorem on restriction of invariants and nilpotent elements in W_n*. Math. USSR. Sbornik **73**, No. 1, 135-159, 1992.

[P14] A. A. Premet, *Regular derivations of truncated polynomial rings*. arXiv:1405.2426 [math.RA], 2014.

[Sk] S. Skryabin, *Invariant polynomial functions on the Possion algebra in characteristic p.* J. Algebra, **256**, 146-179, 2002.

[Sl] P. Slodowy, *Simple sigularities and simple algebraic groups.* Lecture Notes in Math. **815**, Springer, Berlin etc., 1980.

[SF] H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner, *Modular Lie Algebras and their Representations.* Pure and Applied Mathematics 116. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1988.

[W] R. Wilson, *Automorphisms of graded Lie algebra of Cartan type.* Comm. Algebra, 3(7), pp. 591-613, 1975.

[WCL] J. Wei, H. Chang and X. Lu, *The variety of nilpotent elements and invariant polynomial functions on the special algebra S_n*. Forum Math., DOI 10.1515 /forum-2012-0163, 2013.

MATHEMATISCHES SEMINAR, CHRISTIAN-ALBRECHTS-UNIVERSITÄT ZU KIEL, 24098 KIEL, GERMANY.
E-mail address: chang@math.uni-kiel.de